



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/036,501	03/06/1998	DAVID S. LOURIE	42390.P5104	6042

7590

11/27/2002

ALLAN T SPONSELLER
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD
SEVENTH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CA 900251026

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, LUONG TRUNG

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2612

DATE MAILED: 11/27/2002

24

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/036,501

Applicant(s)
Lourie et al.

Examiner
Luong Nguyen

Art Unit
2612



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Luong Nguyen

(3) _____

(2) Lisa Tom (Reg. No. P-52,291)

(4) _____

Date of Interview Nov 26, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 15 and 22

Identification of prior art discussed:

Stedman et al., Brown, Ng.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Ms. Tom explained the invention and stated that the cited prior arts do not show "the computer system to exit the inactive mode in response to the weighted average of brightness of the two frames differing by a predetermined amount." The examiner disagrees because this feature is taught by combination of Stedman et al., Brown and Ng.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Luong Nguyen

Examiner's signature, if required